

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006

| | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | 10/643,606 | 08/18/2003 | Rene Mattern | FA1093USNA | 4930 |
| | 23906 7590 05/19/2006 E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | | SELLMAN, CACHET I | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | 4417 LANCASTER PIKE | | 1762 | | |
| | WII MINGTOR | N DE 10005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | A Barrier | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office A. Company | 10/643,606 | MATTERN ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Cachet I. Sellman | 1762 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a r will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB | CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A | ugust 2003. | | | | |
| ,— | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under l | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D | o. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-8</u> is/are pending in the app | Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-8</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) 2 and 6 is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is/are: | ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 August 2003</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached | d Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| • | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | • | received in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | | received | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certified copies flot | received. | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of I | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date8/5/04, 1/10/04, 7/19/04, | $4/1/64$, 6) \Box Other: | _ | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/643,606 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification is missing a section, which gives a brief description of the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,606

Art Unit: 1762

(h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).

- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Page 3

Claim Objections

2. Claims 2 and 6 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 2 requires that the restricted wavelength of the NIR radiation range from 750 – 1800nm but in claim 1 the applicant requires that the restricted radiation is primarily in the range form 750 – 1200 nm. Therefore Claim 2, which has the range of 750 – 1800nm, does not further limit claim 1 from which it depends which has the range of 750 – 1200 nm. Claim 6 depends from cancelled claim 5 therefore it does not further limit the previous claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for 3. all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1762

4. Claims 1-4 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emch (US 2002/0071918 A1) in view of Rekowski et al. (US 2003/0031804 A1) and Talmore (US 5707401).

Emch discloses a process for coating a substrate by first applying a powder coating to the surface (abstract) then treating the surface with NIR having a wavelength form 0.7 – 7 micrometers (700 – 4000 nm).

Emch does not teach using a filter coated with borosilicate glass, silica glass or vitreous ceramic to restrict the wavelength to 250 – 3000 nm, wherein the restricted NIR radiation has a wavelength ranging form 750 – 1200 nm as required by **claim 1**.

Rekowski et al. discloses a process for powder coating a substrate, such as a car [00021] and curing the coating by using NIR radiation in the wavelength of 760 – 1500 nm (abstract). Rekowski further discloses that curing in this range results in coatings having rapid curing, sufficient hardness and a good surface quality [0007].

Talmore discloses the use of filters coated with borosilicate glass to restrict wavelengths in the range of 750 – 1200nm and that the angle of the filter

Application/Control Number: 10/643,606

Art Unit: 1762

can be varied in order to change the transmittance (column 3, lines 65-67 and

column 4, lines 1-7).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of Emch to include using the wavelengths of Rekowski by using the filter of Talmore. One would have been motivated to do so because both Emch and Rekowski et al. disclose processes for powder coating a three dimensional object such as a car and irradiating the coating with NIR. Rekowski et al. further discloses that using wavelengths in the range of 760- 1500 nm results in rapid curing, sufficient hardness and good surface quality and Talmore teaches using filters coated with borosilicate to restrict wavelengths to within that range therefore one would have a reasonable expectation of success in irradiating the powder coating.

As stated above the wavelength is restricted to a range from 760 – 1500 nm as required by **claim 2**. Emch teaches the use of combined radiation and a heated convection oven to treat the coating [0049] as required by **claims 3 and**4. Rekowski et al. discloses that the coating is cured within no more than 60 seconds [0065] as required by **claim 7**. Emch discloses that the coating is applied to an automobile [Fig. 2 and 0003] as required by **claim 8**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cachet I. Sellman whose telephone number

is 571-272-0691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cachet Sellman Patent Examiner AU 1762

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER